

**Clifton upon Teme Draft Neighbourhood Development Plan
Informal Consultation March 2016**

Consultation Process

350 flyers advertising the draft plan and consultation process were delivered to all households and businesses in the parish.

40 copies of the plan were printed.

Drop Boxes and hard copies of the plan were available at the Village Stores, The Lion Inn, The New Inn and The Village Hall. Hard copies of the plan were available at all consultation events and were given out.

Consultation events:

Steering Group members visited:

-The Friendship Club (2.3.16at 2.30pm) in the village hall-45 members present

-The WI in the village hall (8.3.16at 7.30pm)- 13 members present

-The Coffee Morning at the Lion Inn (10.3.16 10.00am)-22 people present

-Steering Group members held two presentation and display events at the village hall (7.30pm on10.3.16 and 15.3.16)- 5 people attended the first event and 19 attended the second event plus steering group members (10 at each event).

-A drop in session and display was held at the Pavilion on 14.3.16 (08.30-11.00am) with 7 people attending and 3 steering group members.

-The primary school were invited to respond and the school council undertook the consultation with all the pupils (71).

-There were 547 hits on the Informal Consultation section of the Parish Council website

Comments from Local Residents / Stakeholders

Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Amendments to the Draft Plan
General		
Shame that the plan does not affect the current developments that are happening? Too many houses too quickly.	Noted.	No change.
General support for the plan and vote of thanks to the steering group for their hard work. These came from the group visits.	Noted.	No change.
Questions about the potential for developments across parish boundaries ie Hope Lane and Harpley parish, Pound Lane and Lower Sapey parish and does our plan cover/address this/is this a future potential issue with development?	The NDP can only include policies / proposals for the designated neighbourhood area.	No change.
The large amount of housing and how this will affect the community feel of the village.	Noted. The housing numbers largely come from existing commitments (eg planning consents and development which has been started or completed since the beginning of the plan period. The NDP cannot overturn these decisions.	No change.
A lot of concern about dog fouling and the potential for this to increase with the extra housing	Dog fouling is not a planning issue.	No change. Parish Council Action Point 9
My family have only recently returned to live in the village again (having lived at Crown Cottage in the 90's) and are delighted to be	Noted.	No change.

<p>back now that we have finally moved into Thrift House.</p>		
<p>It's really important to have an insightful and compelling strategic plan for discussion, moreover one which can be debated and delivered in such a colligate way.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>No change.</p>
<p>Although I haven't read other plans in detail, I think this first draft is very good and seems to cover most of the important areas. Well done!</p> <p>One suggestion could be to increase the emphasis placed upon the legacy of decisions made based on the plan, i.e. looking back from 2030, what would we like the plan to have achieved?</p> <p>I believe the main challenge for the next decades are based around sustainability, both environmentally and socially, and so I feel the plan has scope for addressing this more clearly, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In order for the village to assist in the global need to reduce CO2 emissions there will need to be improved access to low-carbon energy for heat and power (ideally from local renewable energy generation; providing work, sustainability, and local energy security). - I believe the plan should place greater emphasis on encouraging schemes to reduce CO2 emissions. For example, new buildings MUST be specified to be well beyond the 	<p>Although there is mention of “discreet renewable energy technologies” in Obj 2 there is no planning policy to support / manage local carbon technologies such as community energy schemes.</p> <p>Sustainable building design is largely covered in Building Regs and only general supportive wording is appropriate in NDPs. CB2 already addresses this.</p> <p>SG could consider including an additional policy in the infrastructure section eg “Supporting low carbon energy production and technologies” eg supporting local energy generation projects and low carbon transport schemes?</p>	<p>Insert additional policy supporting low carbon energy production and technologies.</p> <p>New Policy in 4.6 Infrastructure</p> <p>Draft Policy CI3 Supporting Low Carbon Energy Schemes and Technologies</p> <p>“Development will be supported which improves community access to low carbon energy for heat, power and transport schemes.</p> <p>Such schemes should minimise any adverse impacts on visual amenity, landscape and biodiversity and be sensitive to the character of the Conservation Area and other built heritage assets.”</p>

<p>bare minimum required by the current government legislation for energy efficiency.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Low carbon transport schemes will also need to be supported. For example, installing facilities for Electric car charge points should be planned for as these will become increasingly important for residents and tourist visits alike considering the remote location of the village. - I suspect the plan could include some aspects of existing “zero-carbon village”; plans where feasible. This could help the transition to the vision/objective of the village having a well-balanced co-existence of valued historical buildings and traditions alongside a vibrant and modern community (this references section 4.5.1). 		
<p>Thanks for draft NP doc. You guys have been extremely busy. What a comprehensive doct. I have not had a chance to review in detail so any comments I make may be irrelevant or not appropriate. Here goes:</p> <p>1) Inform and Educate</p> <p>Should there be a plan to let all villagers know about matters that might have a positive impact for them, their community and their climate. I am thinking about Neighbourhood Watch, Green energy saving schemes, Look Out For Your Neighbour, Syndicate groups to acquire say cheaper oil and so on.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>These are largely non planning matters but the SG may wish to address these in the Appendix listing Actions for the PC.</p>	<p>Include suggestions in the Appendix setting out Actions for the Parish Council.</p> <p>Parish Council Action Point 8</p>

<p>2) Financial Helpline Aim to find means to support younger residents find the means to get on the property ladder. Visiting advisor or published list of who to contact. Help those in temporary need. Set up a credit union or have say Parish Council provide emergency loans.</p> <p>3) Cooperative Network Establish a system where we market all goods and services provided by residents and we support each other by buying or exchanging these. I guess the Teme Triangle does a good job in this area but I am sure there is lots more that we do not know about.</p> <p>4) Creating Fun for All, Ensuring there is lots of opportunities to do things as a community. Trips and events. Especially important for an aging population to have choices and not to feel disadvantaged by living outside a major town.</p>		
<p>General Comments. The document is well written and provides useful information in understanding the NDP though some duplication of information is present across the document. Specific Comments. Personally I would like the plan to highlight that the proposed Hope Lane and Blue Shot</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>It would not be appropriate for the NDP to include a section setting out examples of local poor design, rather it is better to promote and provide references to high quality design.</p> <p>The Plan includes policies to guide design of</p>	<p>No change.</p>

<p>Meadow developments represent urban style housing estates which are not in keeping with the village architecture.</p> <p>Therefore one of the key objectives of the plan is to ensure that future developments retain the architectural stature of the village excluding the architecture, and specifically the density of housing, represented within the Hope Lane/Blue Shot developments. This is important so as to prevent the urbanisation of other areas within the village. If agreed this could become section 1.14 as it would be appropriate to follow on from section 1.13. Alternatively the Settlement Boundary can be re-drawn to exclude these two developments.</p>	<p>future developments.</p>	
<p>The Designated Neighbourhood Area shown on page 5 does not incorporate the entire field designated for development at Hope Lane. It would seem inappropriate to exclude part of this development therefore the entire field should be incorporated. (Subject to above comment)</p> <p>Section 1.1 states that the NDP can be used to choose where new homes, shops and offices are to be built yet the NDP, as highlighted in section 1.2, must defer to the SWDP. With reference to the SWDP adopted February 2016, section 66b states that one of the purposes of the SWDP is to allocate</p>	<p>The NDP can only include policies / proposals for the designated neighbourhood area.</p> <p>The clarification is already provided in the text; NDPs have to be in general conformity with local strategic planning policy and this is set out in 1.7.</p>	<p>No change.</p>

<p>land for housing development. Therefore the implication that the NDP can dictate where new homes, shops and offices is not accurate and should be clarified.</p>		
<p>Well done the NP committee in preparing the plan. I appreciate the plan is to shape Clifton development following planning permission already granted, but nevertheless we should continue exert all pressure to ensure any development following planning permission for the Hope Lane and blue Shot sites do reflect the NP recommendations for housing character and housing density.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>No change.</p>
<p>My sincere thanks to all who have been involved in the draft neighborhood plan. It has involved a great deal of work and as a resident I am very grateful that you are thinking about the future of the village. Although Clifton upon Teme has lost the importance it once enjoyed it remains a very attractive village. It was once said that Clifton upon Teme is a village with a heart-a heart that has been beating for over a thousand years! You are keeping that heart beating. Congratulations! Vision statement, objectives, key themes and policies all supported</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>No change.</p>
<p>Clifton upon Teme is a thriving village with a distinct character and identity that is highlighted in the plan. This needs to be preserved and enhanced over time and</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>No change.</p>

<p>should involve balanced growth to ensure viability. This is important to create a sustainable rural economy as opposed to rural poverty. The neighbourhood plan appears to support and address these issues.</p>		
<p>First our grateful thanks for all the hard work that has gone into producing such a comprehensive plan for the future of our village. I applaud the plan to keep large development to an absolute minimum. Too much will completely destroy the valuable assets of this village. When we first lived here some 45 years ago we knew practically everyone-indeed out of 500 and odd inhabitants at that time well over 350 took part in a village pageant I helped to produce. We returned on 2001 and still know many inhabitants but by no means all. With future development we will all find ourselves living in an urban environment rather than the caring community that exists at present. We are fortunate indeed to live here. Please try to stop wholesale development.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>No change.</p>
<p>having just moved into the village I would like to congratulate you on how well the village is kept-especially the mowing of the village grass.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>No change.</p>
<p>An excellent job on the draft NP plan. You all must have spent a lot of time and thought thinking it all out. But have you wasted your time? Look what happened to all the plans for new homes in the village which we all wanted?! Completely overruled and for</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>No change.</p>

<p>many more unwanted houses foisted on us by greedy landowners “<u>knowing</u>” the right people!!! And we can do <u>nothing</u> about it. Sewage can’t cope now and with less land to absorb the excessive rainfall –“Pound River” again. Lanes will be choked and flooded.</p>		
<p>We believe all aspects of the draft plan are very good. Clifton upon Teme is a VILLAGE and a community-the fact that it is about to increase its size and population is worrying. E feel that for the future it is very important for very limited growth-in order that the “VILLAGE” can maintain its sense of community in our beautiful rural situation. Congratulations to the steering group for all your hard work!</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>No change.</p>
<p>The addition of up to 39% increase of new housing already approved and outside the existing settlement boundary is surely enough (if not too much) for the size of this village for the next decade. This is chiefly because of the impact of the potential 600 more people living here which will put a strain on the existing infrastructure. (Point 4.3.8) there is one shop, no permanent GP, a limited bus service a small school (primary). If the Neighbourhood Development Plan is able to control such growth in the future it should be welcomed in my opinion.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>No change.</p>
<p>The new housing developments should not go ahead. Clifton upon Teme does not have the roads to support the huge increase in traffic it will bring. The village /B4204 is, by</p>	<p>Noted. Traffic and transport is addressed in CI2.</p>	<p>Include information in supporting text for CI2.</p>

<p>the shop, single lane due to cars parked, there is nowhere else for them to park. Pound Lane, a single lane, will see more traffic as drivers will use it for commuting and short cuts to Whitbourne, Knightwick and Bromyard, thus creating havoc for farmers moving machinery and stock. I would also like to prohibit the building of wind turbines in the area which would blight the beautiful scenery of our area.</p>	<p>Include information in supporting text for C12.</p>	
<p>Yes, in essence I agree with the plan in all its parts. The objectives are good and protect the uniqueness of Clifton and its immediate area. Excessive development in large blocks of houses would/could have the effect of creating a village within a village-with the potential to destroy the very strong community which does exist.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>No change.</p>
<p>It is really important to protect this unique and attractive village-these qualities being the reasons we all moved here! Excessive development would cause lack of social cohesiveness and put incredible strains on all the local services. (The schools could not cope, neither could the excellent surgeries-our doctors will be joining those across the country who are resigning due to increased work loads.) This lovely local community will be destroyed by greedy developers and land owners who do not care about the quality of life of the rest of us!</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>No change.</p>
<p>P8 para1.2 I've lost track. Is SWDP now finalised?</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>No further change.</p>

<p>P10 para 1.11 says planning application for 125 dwellings but appendix 2 p77 4 have been refused. Update and be consistent.</p> <p>P10 para1.2 says 319 dwellings in 2014, 321 dwellings Feb 2016-needs consistency P13 para 2.1 says 321 dwellings in 2013. Needs consistency.</p>	<p>SWDP is now adopted.</p> <p>Section 4.3 has been updated in response to comments from MHDC.</p>	
<p>First-well done all of you-what a mammoth task!</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Will the maps showing the existing settlement boundary be altered now the Hope lane development is coming down to the hedge on the West boundary? 2) Those of us who use the foot and bridlepaths are very aware that in <u>some</u> areas there is a degree of ill feeling on both sides. This really should be prioritised and the situation clarified. 3) I'm not able to tell from the Neighbourhood Area map on p5 whether "Paradise" is included. If not could it be? There was talk of an attempt to construct a drive from the lane and up to Waste Comon Cottage, which failed because one of the landowners blocked it. 4) Is there any chance of the village being able to have traffic calming "things" at Hope and Pound Land 	<p>Noted.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) and 3) Maps updated in line with MHDC comments. 2) Noted – Refer to Ordnance Survey Map. 3) Paradise is not in the village. 4) Refer to supporting text for CI2. 	<p>Amend Plan.</p> <p>Amend Maps.</p> <p>Incorporate comments in 4) in supporting text for CI2.</p> <p>Parish Council Action Point 10</p> <p>Parish Council Action Point 7</p>

<p>also Steps and Church farm. It's bad enough now but with the large increase in traffic with the proposed developments something must be done to slow the speeds. (27)</p>		
<p>Well done the draft is what this village needs for the future. To ensure prosperity and growth for the future.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>No change.</p>
<p>Every effort needs to be made to keep Clifton a traditional village. Small amounts of properties need to be added to the village not large estates, the dynamics change too much with large amounts of new people coming in. Village life dies a little more each time. Many people don't come for village life they come for the houses that match what they want from life!! We have very special countryside around us and it needs to be maintained. I think the plan covers all aspects of what the village needs in the future. Well done to the team.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>No change.</p>
<p>Thank you for sending me the draft latest version of the Clifton Neighbourhood Plan. A huge amount of work has gone into it. It appears to be a very comprehensive document. I look forward to receiving the hard copy of the final version in due course. (Landowner)</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>No change.</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">HARRIETT BALDWIN MP</p>  <p style="text-align: center;">HOUSE OF COMMONS LONDON SW1A 0AA</p> <p>Mr. Jerry Johns Clifton upon Teme Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group The Crown House Clifton-upon-Teme Worcs WR6 8DH Our ref: WW12316 24 February 2016</p> <hr/> <p>Dear Mr Johns</p> <p>Thank you for sending me a copy of the draft version of the Clifton upon Teme Neighbourhood Plan.</p> <p>I send my congratulations to everyone involved in the preparation of the plan, the detail is stunning. I do hope that every household in the village attends the Informal Public Consultation in order to take part in the representation process. The preparation of Neighbourhood Plans is something that I have encouraged across West Worcestershire and this is one of only a few to be this far advanced.</p> <p>I look forward to hearing more as you progress.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>  <p>HARRIETT BALDWIN MP Member of Parliament for West Worcestershire</p> <p style="text-align: center;">www.harriettbaldwin.com</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>No change.</p>
<p>I should like to pass on my congratulations to the Parish Council and in particular the Steering Group led by Cllr Helen Winer who have worked extremely hard to develop such a professional and comprehensive document-well done."</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>No change.</p>
<p>Many thanks for sending me the flyer and the draft plan. This is a considerable piece of work and all involved are due huge praise and thanks for the monumental effort.</p> <p>I cannot claim to have read all 94 pages carefully, but I have skimmed through the lot and would like to venture some comments – mainly superficial, so not too disturbing.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Amend as suggested.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. This is outside the settlement boundary. 3. The steering group decided against this. 4. This information is provided directly from Census information (ONS) and the age bands used to present the information are standard. 	<p>Amend Plan.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Include SuDS in references to sustainable drainage.

<p>Presented in order as I came across them:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sustainable Drainage Systems is usually rendered as SuDS. 2. What happens to the Old Town? I have seen little reference to it and no maps. Outside the settlement boundary or would it have its own? 3. P59 photograph is great. I wanted to see a 2015 one and then found it on the back page. Any point in putting the modern one in the text as well? 4. The age groups in histogram from the 2011 census are uneven, making the presentation more or less useless. Surely it would be better to present the data with even sized groups to give a feel for the age distribution over the whole population? 5. Why is the Church Farm development not in the maps or the settlement boundary? I missed any reference to it being an exception, but probably as I was not reading carefully enough. Also there is the single house now in the corner of the field opposite Church Farm that might as well be included within the boundary... 6. I see under objective 2 on page 59 that you want better broadband and mobile coverage. That is planned under the second BT contract, so a small reference to that plan might be made. Re disbursed energy production schemes you want to encourage, you might mention that the WCC Corporate 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Maps are being amended and updated. 7. Noted – no change. 10. Noted. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. Include reference to this. 8. Use this information in supporting text for CI2. Parish Council Action Point 7. 9. Updated to March 2016
---	---	--

<p>Plan rules out wind farms across the County.</p> <p>7. Section 4.5.3 is a very impressive list and is a testament to the activity in the community. To be commended.</p> <p>8. Re speed reduction, you will know that I pursued the possibility of a 40mph zone to the north of the village and that as a result speed measurement was carried out outside and within the 30mph limit. You might like to get hold of the results from Dave Jew at WCC. He ruled out such a zone for what I thought were spurious reasons, and even considered moving the 30 limit further INTO the village. I would support any moves to slow traffic within the 30mph limit but the parked cars do a pretty good job already. You may wish to continue the aim for a 40 mph buffer zone to the north, although there was opposition at one PC meeting from a local resident.</p> <p>9. Should the date in the heading of Appendix 2 be the 14th December 2015, not 2104? The single house mentioned above would not have been “completed” in 2014, I think.</p> <p>10. Can’t think of a tenth point, except to reiterate my admiration for the whole enterprise. I will mention it to other parishes who may be considering a similar route.</p>		
---	--	--

Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Amendments to the Draft Plan
Vision and Objectives		
<p>We agree with the vast majority of the objectives and policies set out in the plan, however we feel that more emphasis could be placed on the impact of further development on local services such as the school, which will inevitably need to expand to meet the demands of the increased population within the village with the existing agreed development, let alone any further housing additions.</p> <p>Is the expectation that further additions to the school buildings at the cost of its outdoor space is inevitable, or do the PC feel children over and above the current capacity of the school will need to travel out of catchment to other schools?</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Policy CR1 supports the provision and protection of community facilities and services.</p> <p>Education policy and provision is a National and County matter.</p> <p>Refer to the South Worcestershire Development Plan Infrastructure Delivery Plan http://www.swdevelopmentplan.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/SWIDP_Sept2014v10.pdf</p> <p>Section 5 Social Infrastructure A) EDUCATION advises:</p> <p><i>5.1 This section of the SWIDP analyses the impact of the latest version of the SWDP on education provision across South Worcestershire. The housing proposed will lead to an increase in the 0-19 year old population, with a consequential demand for additional school places for all types of education (early years to post-16).</i></p> <p><i>5.2 Worcestershire County Council, as the Local Authority responsible for education, has a statutory duty to ensure that there are sufficient school places for all children of statutory school age living</i></p>	<p>Amend Plan Insert reference to the primary school in Policy CR1.</p>

	<p><i>in Worcestershire and whose parents/carers apply for a place at a publically funded school.</i></p> <p><i>5.3 The infrastructure needs set out in this section show a need to increase the number of school places by 20 – 30% in the Wider Worcester Area, 7-12% across Malvern Hills and 15-25% across Wychavon during the plan period.</i></p> <p><i>5.4 Strategic planning for school places is undertaken by Worcestershire County Council Children’s Services and their assessments and means of forecasting are set out in the County evidence base. These forecasts are updated annually, taking account of changes in birth rates and trends in parental preferences.</i></p> <p><i>5.5 The forecasting process is a dynamic one which needs to respond to changing proposals. The County has set out its latest evidence for SWC in July 2014 related to the updated SWDP development proposals following the Inspector's findings. It is recognised that updates will be required for future versions of the SWIDP.</i></p> <p><i>5.6 The SWC each adopted an SPD on planning obligations contributions for education in 2007. The County Council recommends inclusion of educational provision within any future Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) charging schedule on the basis this would enable more effective targeting of resources.</i></p>	
--	--	--

	<p><i>5.7 The school landscape has changed significantly since 2011 as a result of changes in national education policy. Academies and Free Schools receive public funding but are independent of the County Council. More autonomy has also been given to all types of publically funded schools to make changes and respond to the perceived needs of their communities. There were 51 academies and one Free School in Worcestershire as at 30 June 2014 and there is a presumption that any new school opened under current legislation will be an academy or a free school.</i></p> <p><i>5.8 The role of the County Council has changed from being a direct provider of school places to being a commissioner. Additional school places to support population growth must be negotiated with new or existing providers to ensure there is sufficient overall provision. The County Council does not have powers to compel Academies or Free Schools to provide additional places. As a result of these changes the County Council cannot make authoritative statements on which schools might need to be expanded as a result of new housing but can set out the additional number of places that would need to be commissioned in that area.</i></p> <p><i>5.9 The July 2014 County Council evidence is set out by area below. Generally, extensions and alterations are favoured over new schools because of the lead in time involved to establish a new school and the total project costs, which often include land acquisition as well as build costs.</i></p>	
--	--	--

5.23 Malvern Hills • The County Council expects at least 50% of the costs of additional educational provision to be met from developers' contributions. Where new schools are required to support developments, then developers may be asked to meet the full cost of the new infrastructure.

TABLE 5C: MALVERN HILLS EDUCATION INFRASTRUCTURE REQUIREMENTS

*Location Clifton Sites
Proposed Dwellings 47*

Cost of Primary Phase Infrastructure £99,599

Cost of Secondary Phase Infrastructure £107,630

5.38 Clifton • The total number of extra dwellings for this area is 47. This equates to approximately 2 additional children per year group. Currently with these estimated additional children added there are sufficient places in the primary school • The Chantry High school is currently forecast to be full for the next four years. Additional places may need to be considered for this setting as part of the cumulative effect of all the villages which feed this setting. Any expansion of this setting will be considered under the annual forecast review completed each December. • As school numbers can change any application placed in the area will be considered individually at the point of application.

It is understood that the school has the capacity to absorb the expected increase in places that would

	be needed from the proposed new developments.	
Yes, it seems broadly right (Vision Statement)	Noted.	No change.
Yes, but they could be more explicit (notes below) (Objs)	Noted.	No change.
Yes, but they could be expanded for Future legacy (Key themes and policies)	Noted. See proposed amendments to infrastructure chapter above.	No change.
Key Objectives (4) How does the plan encourage opportunities for parishioners-monetary encouragement? Will all organisations be helped or do they have to apply for help?	Noted. Groups can apply to the Parish Council for grants.	No change to Plan policies. Parish Council Action Point 8
How do you aim to foster partnership between parish organisations and institutions – what institutions - what does this mean? Overall, I fully support the very noble aims of the Neighbourhood Plan and hope that it “has teeth”. However, I feel that “bolting horses” and “stable doors” applies!!	This is a general objective for the Plan as a whole. The NDP should foster a spirit of joint working through its preparation and role as a Development Plan Document.	No change. Parish Council Action Point 8
Is the vision statement for Clifton upon Teme right?*	Noted.	No change.
Difficult to argue with		
Are the Objectives set out in the Draft Neighbourhood Plan right?*	Noted. See MHDC comments also.	Amend Plan. Objective 2: Delete “appropriately discreet” and replace
In objective 2 I strongly support encouraging		

<p>renewable energy generation and am concerned that including the word “discreet”; is unnecessary and asking for objections.</p> <p>In objective 3 I feel that, given the number of units already planned, any further ones should meet a high standard of design and sustainability, thereby adding the best of 21st century accommodation</p>	<p>Amend Obj 2 as suggested.</p> <p>Sustainable design is largely addressed through Building Regs now.</p>	<p>with “Sensitively sited and designed”.</p> <p>No change.</p>
<p>Support for Vision, Objs, Policies. Well laid out with excellent maps and diagrams. The inclusion of Old Road in more detail would be appreciated</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Old Road – see above noted in 2.1</p>	<p>No change.</p>
<p>Vision – ambitious Objs – Probably Policies – ambitious</p> <p>The intensions for the new build are carefully thought through, but we would need to see examples of likely designs of the dwellings and lay out before being satisfied.</p> <p>For after all these will be the foundation of all the 'Visions' set out in the NDP. I only hope this new build won't overwhelm the one fragile Main Street, farms and church in Clifton.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Details of design are provided for comment as part of the development management process ie at planning application stage.</p>	<p>No change.</p>
<p>Yes to all 3 Thank you for your hard work</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>No change.</p>
<p>Yes to all 3 Good work - well done!</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>No change.</p>

<p>Is the vision statement for Clifton-Upon-Teme right? No I do not feel that the use of the word ‘vibrant/vibrancy’ is accurate. The word suggests vigour, energy and rapid activity which may be true in parts but one of the key features of the environment afforded to us in Clifton is to relax, escape the ‘hustle and bustle’ of the modern world in a haven of quiet village life. Whilst I would not suggest that our vision should be one of maintaining a backward and non-progressive community it should acknowledge, and more importantly maintain, any environment which affords a quiet and relaxed lifestyle if desired.</p> <p>Are the Objectives set out in the Draft Neighbourhood Plan right? Not completely. The objectives as defined do not incorporate sufficient and specific protection for local wildlife and wildlife habitats. Although this may be implied through the policies on landscaping it does not give enough or explicit emphasis to the protection and encouragement of wildlife within these landscapes. Section 2 of Draft Policy CB3 does not provide sufficient coverage on this point.</p> <p>As well as affording protection to current habitats the objectives should extend to the creation of more areas to encourage wildlife especially as major housing developments</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Steering group agreed to retain the original vision.</p> <p>In terms of wildlife / natural environment this is addressed in Objective 1 and Policy CL2.</p> <p>Housing Policies – not accepted. The NDP makes clear that it is a plan for the whole neighbourhood area, but within this, further new housing development should be provided with the settlement boundary. This is in conformity with the SWDP. However the Plan also recognises that in some limited circumstances development will also take place in the open countryside and includes other policies to address this.</p> <p>The first sentence of the Executive Summary makes it clear that this is a plan for the whole Parish. The Policies apply to development throughout the Parish / neighbourhood area, except where they are location specific eg CB1 for the conservation area and CH1 for new housing within the settlement boundary. The Plan does not allocate further SHLAA sites as existing commitments already make a significant contribution to the target housing requirement in the SWDP.</p> <p>The Executive Summary could be amended slightly under the bullet point “Housing” to include a sentence referring to the wider countryside.</p>	<p>No change to Vision or Policy CL2.</p> <p>Amend Executive Summary, bullet point Housing to read “Housing (with policies to support small scale infill development within the settlement boundary and to guide limited new housing in some circumstances in the wider countryside”.</p> <p>Parish Council Action Point 11</p> <p>Parish Council Action Point 11</p>
---	--	---

<p>takeover land around the village.</p> <p>Are the Key Themes and Policies set out in the Draft Plan right? No The opening statement within the Executive Summary describes the NDP as “...being prepared to guide new development in the Parish up to 2030.”, and yet is restricted to housing developments within the Settlement boundary thus excluding land identified within the SWDP Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment 5th Edition (April 2015). The land within the SHLAA does however reside within the Parish boundary.</p> <p>The document needs to be clear on what level of responsibility is covered by the NDP, is it Parish (Map 1) or Settlement (Map 12) Boundary? If the NDP is restricted to the Settlement Boundary then the Executive Summary needs to make this absolutely clear in the first line as the NDP will lose credibility the moment another new housing development is proposed on SHLAA identified land.</p> <p>The following comments assume that the NDP ONLY covers the Settlement Boundary and not the Parish, should this assumption be incorrect further changes to the document would be required.</p>		
<p>Section 4 Infrastructure - Objective 2 notes the need to support “... appropriate discreet</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>No further change.</p>

renewable energy technologies” and yet there are no policies specifically highlighting how such infrastructure introduction will be managed. For example any request for the building of Wind Turbines should follow draft policy CI1, i.e. be constrained in the same way as mobile phone masks will be.	See above proposed amendment to include a policy to support low carbon technologies in some circumstances.	
Yes to all 3 OK	Noted.	No change.
Let’s not get hung up on “small scale” renewable energy. Larger scale solar “farms” can be very useful in that grazing is still feasible and also use for ecological purposes	Noted. See above proposed amendment to include a policy to support low carbon technologies in some circumstances.	No further change.
Vision statement right-Yes, Objectives/Key Themes/Policies right-Yes	Noted.	No further change.
No problem with the objectives but have Malvern Hills any chance of listening or will they continue to destroy all our villages with outlandish building?? Anywhere, anyhow	Noted. Once made the Plan will be a statutory planning document, part of the “Development Plan”, and planning applications are required to be determined in accordance with the development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.	No further change.
Vision statement/objectives/key themes/policies right-YES. It would be lovely to see Clifton in bloom again. The village looked its best then 1990-1996.	Noted.	No further change.
Vision statement/objectives/key themes/policies right-YES. An excellent piece of work which I feel well	Noted.	No further change.

<p>represents the views of the existing villagers. Whether there will be any village left to care for once the new housing is built is another question! Thank you for all your hard work</p>		
<p>Vision statement/objectives/key themes/policies right-YES.</p> <p>An excellent document clearly reflecting a huge amount of work. It conveys a very good plan for the village as it identifies the need to be in control of future developments such as school, employment whether home working or commuting, broadband etc. There are clearly many other issues but without this plan external bodies would not appreciate how the residents of this wonderful community wish to see the village grow but with a sense of proportion. No plan=no influence</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>No further change.</p>
<p>Vision statement/objectives/key themes/policies right YES.</p> <p>Football pitch-orange netting (as used on building sites) could be used attached to thin posts (electric fencing) to keep dogs (and owners) off pitch.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>This is a management issue – refer suggestion to organisation responsible for pitch.</p>	<p>No further change.</p> <p>Parish Council Action Point 9</p>
<p>Vision statement/objectives/key themes/policies right-YES</p> <p>The new housing developments should not go ahead. Clifton upon Teme does not have the roads to support the huge increase in traffic it will bring. The village /B4204 is, by the shop, single lane due to cars parked, there is nowhere else for them to park.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Refer to supporting text to CI2.</p>	<p>Consider suggestions as part of supporting text for CI2.</p> <p>Parish Council Action Point 7</p>

<p>Pound Lane, a single lane, will see more traffic as drivers will use it for commuting and short cuts to Whitbourne, Knightwick and Bromyard, thus creating havoc for farmers moving machinery and stock. I would also like to prohibit the building of wind turbines in the area which would blight the beautiful scenery of our area.</p>		
<p>Vision Statement/Objectives/key themes/policies right YES.</p> <p>It would be good if the village had at least one defibrillator with members of village trained in its use. (24)</p> <p>---Very impressed with the neighbourhood plan and some interesting reading. Here are some items I perceive are missing</p> <p>-1) Green spaces section- there is no mention of the churchyard, its central on the village, very peaceful and has lots of wild plants and places to sit and relax.</p> <p>2) Church-as far as I can see there is no specific mention of the church, it is very much part of the fabric of the village and also provides a venue to make friends as well as its Christian focus. It is a venue that can be used for suitable events any day of the week and not just Services-normal Sunday ones, funerals etc It is just as important to list the Church as it is to list the</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Refer defibrillator suggestion to PC.</p> <p><u>Missing</u></p> <p>1) – The Steering Group decided not to include church yard in list of local green spaces but the church should be recognised in the section on community facilities.</p> <p>2) Insert further information on church as provided to section 4.5.3.</p> <p><u>Content:</u></p> <p>1) Height of new buildings is addressed CB1 and CB2.</p> <p>2) These are a record of suggestions from public consultations. The Plan does not promote the use of astro turf.</p>	<p>Amend Plan.</p> <p>Parish Council Action Plan 8 (re defibrillator)</p> <p><u>Content</u></p> <p>3) – Include information as provided in supporting evidence for CR1.</p> <p>Parish Council Action Point 7.</p>

<p>Scouts or Pub or..... Our village is very fortunate that the Church is right in the village, there are some such as Sinton Green where the church is 2 miles away.</p> <p>Comments on the content</p> <p>-1) Height of (new) houses-as one approaches the village from the Old Road side, I have been very aware for sometime of the newest houses built down Pound Lane, they are far too obvious from far away due to their height and light colour. This is not in keeping with the landscape.</p> <p>2) List of peoples “wants”-astro-turf surfaces, and other suggestions. These ring of urbanisation-EEK and please, please to be avoided. Clifton is a village.</p> <p>3) Traffic- yet again NO consideration is given to the traffic that has to cross a narrow Victorian on a winding B road, many miles from anywhere to anywhere. I spend quite a lot of time in a field beside the main road or in our garden only 100 yards from the main road or in our garden only 100 yards from the main road and for much of the day it is very very busy. The future must be considered with 125 dwellings approved.</p>		
<p>Vision statement- Yes but add “it feels safe”.</p>	<p>Noted – some amendments suggested and taken on board– see above.</p>	<p>No change.</p>
<p>Vision statement/Objectives/Key themes/policies right-YES.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>No change.</p>

<p>An excellent draft plan. Thank you. My concerns are traffic for Ham Bridge. I do not want street lighting. I have to mention houses, 20 more in Hope Lane far too many for the site. Planning should remain as previously passed. The idea of small houses for rent will mean for the uninspired population that get themselves into trouble. We need Clifton to remain safe, and to move but not in one leap.</p>		
<p>I think the vision statement is right and the objectives set out in your draft are very satisfactory indeed. All the key themes and policies in your draft are spot on for this village. All these key themes are in my opinion what is needed to keep this a village of heritage and beauty. Could we encourage wildlife a little more as it is being taken away by all this huge property developments.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>No change. Parish Council Action Point 11</p>
<p>Objective 1 (Village History). Let us remember that we once had our own water supply, courtesy of Martley Rural District Council. There were a number of water stations in the kerb line along the shop side of the main street. The one on the village green is a left over, put there to show what they were like. When I was chair of the Parish Council, I talked to Mr Loach of Hollands Mill, about the pumping station on the side of Sapey brook in Hollybush Lane and about the PC gaining ownership. He wasn't that keen but I could not find any</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Obj 1. – Water station is outside the Parish.</p> <p>Obj 2. – Improved telecommunications are supported in CI1.</p> <p>Obj 3 – Consider wording in future leaflets / publicity.</p> <p>The NDP encourages local employment.</p> <p>Obj 4 – Refer to management organisation of</p>	<p>No change to policies in the Plan.</p> <p>4. Parish Council Action Point 8</p>

<p>record of it being sold to anyone. It stands on the side of Sapey Brook and got its water from a spring that discharges into the brook. I think it should be preserved.</p> <p>Objective 2: How about a communications mast then we won't have to search for a signal.</p> <p>Objective 3: On the front page of the leaflet it says "You can choose where new homes should be built" . This is not true. There is only one planning authority MHDC. We don't want to give the impression that the neighbourhood plan is the tool to prevent building. I got the distinct feeling that the steering group do not want any more development over and above the SWDP number. Population growth will determine what we have and need.</p> <p>By and large we agree that Clifton is a beautiful place in which to live. How much better to LIVE AND WORK here. I agree we should encourage local industries and services, workshops and offices. We have a few already which are an asset.</p> <p>Objective 4 and Appendix 3: To encourage this objective we need a community centre to combine all indoor and outdoor activities, present and future. To be open and used every day and not closed most of the time as is the Pavilion and less often the village hall.</p>	<p>Pavilion.</p>	
--	------------------	--

<p>This could also include the Scout and Guide hut. The pavilion was never adequate from the start so we don't want to make the same mistake again. With the future population increased by a possible 50% this project would be a viable and justifiable move. Having said all this we do appreciate and applaud the hard work and thought which has gone into this document.</p>		
<p>Vision statement right-Yes. Objectives/key themes/ Policies-Mainly</p> <p>A failure to see the early promotion of fibre broadband for Clifton.</p> <p>A lack of organised parking re school and main road. Very concerned about the depleted and strained infrastructure the large number of planned new houses will bring. Plus the danger of an overwhelming increase of vehicles in the narrow lanes.</p> <p>Why is the patch of land beneath the willow tree in kenelm Road not marked on the map of local green spaces?</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Telecommunications investment is supported in CI1.</p> <p>Parking – refer to school.</p> <p>Infrastructure is addressed in the sections on Community Facilities (4.5) and Infrastructure (4.6) but is primarily a matter for MHDC and WCC.</p>	<p>Amend Plan.</p> <p>Consider including additional local green space as suggested.</p> <p>Parish Council Action Point 7</p>

Comments	Steering Group Consideration	Amendments to the Draft Plan
Draft Policies and Supporting Text		
Draft Policy CL1 Protecting and Enhancing Local Landscape Character		
The village has many stunning views in all directions	Noted.	No change.
Green field/diversity area....should pond bordering Blueshot and Hope be mentioned.. Because of 28 page report by diversity listing wildlife presence and acknowledged by natural England?	Noted.	Biodiversity information and maps have been updated, courtesy of Worcestershire County Council Ecological Unit.
P29 para 4.1.5 refers to 3 landscape areas but map seems to show 4.	Accepted. The parish also includes an area of Principal Timbered Farmlands. Amend text.	Amend supporting text to include Principal Timbered Farmlands and also Policy CL1.
Worcestershire CC- Ecologist: I've attached two plans for your use. The first covers designated sites and ponds identified within the Worcestershire Habitat Inventory. This will not be a comprehensive list of ponds, and it's important to caveat: data should be considered only as a 'snapshot' in time and while reasonable effort has been made to ensure all the data in these plans are accurate, Worcestershire County Council can	Noted. Maps incorporated into plans as suggested.	Insert licensing information.

<p>accept no liability for its use or interpretation. Datasets should ideally be refreshed every 12 - 18 months or so to ensure that they remain indicative of our best understanding of the state of the local landscape.</p> <p>We're unable to reproduce our maps using your OS licence number I'm afraid, but the 1:250,000 dataset is effectively open for re-use: (http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3/) so I've used this as a base-layer, but I can re-send the plans without a mapping baselayer if you prefer.</p> <p>I've also included our Worcestershire Habitat Inventory habitat network maps (based on all priority habitats, merged together) which I think shows fairly clearly the two strong habitat corridors running from north-to-south and which roughly straddle the eastern and western borders of the parish. This mirrors the value shown in the designated sites map, but demonstrates that nature isn't contained by the borders of any given site and indicates how wildlife can disperse across the landscape (in response to climate change/developmental pressure etc), so in many ways, it's the habitat network maps that I consider more useful than the designated sites map alone).</p> <p>I hope this is what you're after?</p>		
<p>Draft Policy CB1 Design Guidance for New Buildings and Extensions in the Clifton upon</p>		

Teme Conservation Area		
Support for policy about limiting light pollution and no street lighting.	Noted.	No change.
Draft Policy CB2 Building Design Principles for Development outside the Conservation Area and within the Settlement Boundary		
I find the tone of policy CB2 rather precious and uninspiring. The developments already planned will be bland and dense. I feel any further development should reflect the best the 21st century has to offer and be imaginative.	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Design policies cannot be too prescriptive and have to be positive, however the emphasis on use of local materials and designs appropriate to the existing character of the village reflect local concerns and the proximity of heritage assets such as the conservation area.</p> <p>CB2 also encourages imaginative, modern designs using high quality local materials.</p>	No change.
Draft Policy CB2 (Item 4) - Needs to read "...general amenities.." and it would be helpful if some examples are given, presumably the impact on local drainage would be one such example. Section 4.3.12 - Is the statement that "...the neighbourhood plan to support the growth anticipated in national and strategic planning	<p>Noted, but "local amenity" is more generally used in planning policies.</p> <p>The NDP has to be in general conformity with the SWDP and MHDC are not suggesting that the NDP should include any additional housing allocations such as SHLAA</p>	CB2 (4) change to "local residential amenity".

policy" valid, given that the land identified within the SHLAA is not covered by the NDP?	sites.	
--1. Please ensure that street lighting of any form is inappropriate and should be opposed.	Noted.	No change.
Draft Policy CB3 Protecting Historic Farmsteads		
Draft Policy CB4 Archaeology		
Draft Policy CB5 Protecting Local Heritage Assets		
Draft Policy CH1 New Housing Development in Clifton upon Teme village		
I read your draft document with interest and am very supportive of the vision statement, objectives and policies. I am a great believer in village houses and amenities for village families, therefore affordable housing for local people with a strong connection to the village is very important.	Noted.	No change.
Policy CH1 seems to prevent any new building beyond that already planned as the settlement boundary is drawn so tightly and it is difficult to see where there is space for infill. The village has grown organically over the years and I can not see why it should not be allowed to continue to do so in terms of individual buildings. It is dense development of identical style units that should not be allowed.	The NDP has to be in general conformity with the SWDP and MHDC are not suggesting that the NDP should include any additional housing allocations such as SHLAA sites.	No change.

<p>The plan highlights the significant proportion of senior residents (several of whom have suffered health problems this winter) and for whom maintaining an independent property eventually becomes difficult. Their housing needs are not really addressed. Some form of imaginative supported housing unit would be ideal. Are there any community trusts which could collaborate to provide something for villages?</p> <p>Another part of the market not considered is the self-build sector. Could any development not be required to provide affordable serviced plots for those who would rather procure or physically do their own build.</p> <p>Many thanks to those who have given the time and effort to get the plan this far.</p>	<p>Not accepted.</p> <p>This is covered in the SWDP.</p>	<p>No change.</p>
<p>The draft as it stands is a very comprehensive analysis of the parish which should stand as a blueprint for the next 15-20 years. But to be really effective it needs to control the pace of new housing development in order to allow what has already been approved assimilate with the existing community and allow the infrastructure to adapt to a growing population.</p> <p>No new large scale housing (5+) should be allowed for the foreseeable future. Emphasis needs to be on maintaining present character of the village and providing development that meets the needs of those already living there.</p>	<p>Not accepted.</p> <p>A phasing policy would be very difficult to implement.</p>	<p>No change.</p>
<p>--Don't spoil the village by building supermarket</p>	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>No further change.</p>

<p>type houses. Bungalows would be a good idea and sheltered housing. The housing down Pound Lane to be cancelled-think of the school would be a danger. Pavements need a lot of repair. (8)</p> <p>--The present settlement boundary plus that expected as result of new approved developments fails to include an area which already has a significant amount of housing. I believe that the new settlement boundary should include a "satellite" area enclosing Old Road. The same policy for development as applied to the rest of the settlement boundary to apply (policy CH1).</p>	<p>The Plan cannot reverse existing planning decisions / commitments which have planning permission. MHDC have advised that the SWDP settlement boundary should be used in the NDP.</p>	
<p>When the "Worcester Plan" for 2013 was announced it was with a sigh of relief that as far as Clifton upon Teme was concerned we had already fulfilled our quota of new and affordable housing in the last 13 years.</p> <p>Quite unexpectedly we were then asked to choose between two sites for re-development.</p> <p>Both sites were at either end of the village and natural extensions on the arterial route on the B4204. One at Church Farm and the other opposite Steps farm. It seemed of the two, Church farm was the one most needed. It would improve the livelihood of the farm tenants and have the least impact on other residents. The majority of the community agreed and was also supported by the parish council. As if this was</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The Plan cannot reverse existing planning decisions / commitments which have planning permission.</p>	<p>No change to Policies etc.</p> <p>6. Parish Council Action Point 7</p>

not enough, we were suddenly presented with the additional Blue Shot Meadow and Hope Lane developments.

We strongly object and have grave concerns over the escalation of a further 20 dwellings on the over crowded Hope Lane site. It will be detrimental for the village of Clifton upon Teme if either developments took place, for the following reasons:

1. The developments were rejected by the parish council and the majority of the villagers.
2. Over the past 25 years the village has grown from 236 dwellings to 321, an increase of 36% which is vastly disproportionate to the development of the other surrounding communities. If all the proposed enlargement take place it will be a further increase of 46% and an overall increase of 98% since 1990. In the meantime many of our amenities have decreased, including the loss of three shops, a main post office, power cuts, lack of water pressure and increased sewage problems.
3. Broadband, one of the necessities of modern rural life, has deteriorated recently with the advent of more users. Extra households would reduce the

<p>speeds still further and we have no indication of improvement in this area for many years to come.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. The introduction of tightly packed suburban estates are totally out of character with the ambience of this picturesque village and the neighbourhood plan. 5. It is the equivalent to squeezing the same number of properties in Saxon Close onto an area half the size of both plots. 6. There is little employment in this area, so occupants would have to commute, adding at least 100 more vehicles to the narrow lanes that are already grid locked on most mornings and afternoons, by parked cars and school bus arrivals. We are already in desperate need of additional road parking spaces in the village. 7. The Hope Lane site is extremely close to the sewage plant, which is experiencing difficulties coping with the present demands of the village. A number of properties consistently suffer from blockages and flow back smells as well as foul air from the plant itself. 8. The land is the habitat of many wildlife species including great crested newts, as recorded by the 		
---	--	--

<p>late Dr Don Godard and his wife Ann.</p> <p>9. Like many rural areas, it is expensive to live in Clifton. The council tax is at a premium, fuel costs are high and travelling expenditure continually increases. We also have a limited bus service. Hence the reason there are so many unsold properties already in the area. Many have decided to let them instead due to the lack of buyers.</p> <p>10. The Clifton primary school would also be put under extra strain after losing part of their playing field to another development and a substantial amount of it's play ground to extra classrooms.</p> <p>11. Why does the map of the neighbourhood boundary show the field in Hope Lane constrained to a third, when the proposed planned development would occupy the majority of the field?</p> <p>12. Both developers are nation wide companies, having little regard in retaining the character of the village or the wishes of the parishioners.</p> <p>13. The Worcestershire County Council £98 million expenditure cuts will put even more strain on rural family life and making any increase of</p>		
---	--	--

<p>countryside amenities unlikely.</p> <p>14. In the end both plots have been steered by a greed for fiscal reward rather than ANY true consideration for the development and character of our village.</p> <p>15. There is a deep feeling locally that the village needs to be nurtured, protected and guided into the future and the best people to do this are those who live here.</p> <p>16. We wish to reiterate that the majority of residents in this community are not in favour of these developments and we understand that the wishes of the community are meant to take priority over MHDC who we believe receive a levy for every new dwelling built.</p> <p>From residents who care</p>		
<p>Draft Policy CH2 New Housing Development and Extensions in the Parish's Countryside Area</p>		
<p>Similarly I find policy CH2 unduly restrictive as there are already dwellings scattered along roadways and I do not see what harm further such dwellings would cause. Regarding community facilities I feel there should be an aim to provide an available register of clubs, organisations, individual skills etc. to aid the newcomer and encourage the further</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>This policy is in conformity with policies in the SWDP. Scattered development in the wider countryside is only acceptable in planning policy terms in very limited circumstances in order to</p>	<p>No change.</p>

development of a caring, sharing community	promote sustainable patterns of land use.	
Draft Policy CH2 needs to clarify that this does not cover land identified in the SHLAA. Section 4.5, Map 13 and Figure 5 – How do the protection of Local Green Spaces work/operate in line with the SWDP SHLAA? The SHLAA identifies land behind the Pound Meadow housing as being potentially available for development over the next 0-5 years which conflicts with the area identified in Map 13.	Noted. SHLAA Sites do not have any status in planning policy terms – they are from a list of submitted sites for consideration as part of the local plan process. The SWDP allocates sites in Clifton and these are noted as commitments. The Local Green Space designation gives land the same level of protection as green belt ie development is not acceptable other than in very special circumstances.	No change.
P52 para 4.3.2 2011 census 307 households. Needs consistency	Noted and checked. The figures are correct but only give an indication of part of the household composition. Suggest amendment.	Amend 4.3.2 to: In the 2011 Census there were 307 households in the Parish, most of these reside in the village itself. These included 202 (66%) in family households and 89 (29%) in one person households. Of the 695 residents in 2011, 173 (24.9%) were aged 65 years and over.
P55 para 4.3.9 refers to growth of 39% whereas para 1.12 p12 refers to (area) growth of 46%.	Noted.	No change.
Draft Policy ET1 Supporting Economic Growth and Protecting Existing Employment		
Draft Policy ET2 Supporting the Growth of		

Tourism		
Many comments about footpaths and could we have more information/book about local walks.	Noted. This could be considered for an action by the PC.	Parish Council Action Point 10
3) Tourist information board would be good either separately or on the back of the PC board or by the village hall. It could have a village map, information on local shop/pubs opening times, B&B, church, local interest groups, sports clubs and details, events etc important that all are up to date.	Noted. This could be considered for an action by the PC.	Parish Council Action Point 5
2. Path and walking in the area should be highlighted with definitive maps available.	Noted. This could be considered for an action by the PC.	Parish Council Action Point 10
Draft Policy ET3 Supporting Homeworking		
Draft Policy CR1 Provision and Protection of Community Facilities and Services		
Further, excellent and vital local services like the school, shop and pub will thrive if the community grows at an orderly and achievable scale. These businesses deserve to be supported wholeheartedly, they play a crucial part in enhancing village life.	Noted.	No change.
2) PC board needs updating and tidying also the village hall board.	Noted.	Parish Council Action Point 5

<p>3) Tourist information board would be good either separately or on the back of the PC board or by the village hall. It could have a village map, information on local shop/pubs opening times, B&B, church, local interest groups, sports clubs and details, events etc important that all are up to date.</p> <p>No additional leaflets should be pinned onto the boards.</p>	<p>These could be considered for an action by the PC.</p>	
<p>Draft Policy CR2 Local Green Spaces</p>		
<p>Green Spaces- are important as they provide a sense of quietness and act as focal points in the village.</p> <p>The <u>Village Green</u> is used for fayres, hunt meets, charity events and provides views along the high street and to the church, information board for foothpaths.</p> <p><u>Area around horse chestnut</u>- important as a meeting point, seat around the tree, views along the village and across to the church notice board and information.</p> <p><u>Playing fields</u>- open space for sports, views of the Malvern Hills and back into the village, quiet feel to the area.</p> <p><u>Children's play area</u>- extremely important for play!! Large, spacious area, quality equipment and variety, safe.</p> <p><u>Top of Manor Road</u>- local bus stops here, seat around tree provided by WI and made by local</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>SG – use this as part of justifications for local green spaces.</p>	<p>Amend Plan – use text as part of justifications for local green spaces.</p>

<p>blacksmith and identifies local views. <u>Kenelm Road and Forge Meadows</u>- give a sense of tranquillity and openness <u>Well Meadow</u>- historic importance as sight of original village settlement, amazing views across and along the Teme Valley. Used for large village events with the farmer's permission and as an overflow car park for church services/funerals/weddings. <u>Village hall grass</u>- used for marquees as extra space for the hall. Open area.</p>		
<p>Para 4.5 This has never been called Recreation Ground. Please change to "Playing Field" as per the official address. Also please mention that the Playing Field is listed as an Asset of Community Value under the Assets of Community Regulations 2012.</p>	<p>Noted. Amend Plan as suggested.</p>	<p>Change references to "Recreation Ground" to "Playing Field" and refer to it as registered as an Asset of Community Value.</p>
<p>I measured the green spaces with a metre wheel last week, I have tried to be as accurate as possible however there is some approximation used due to some irregular shapes.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Village Green 700m² 2 Horse Chestnut etc. 158m² 3 Playing Fields 14400m² 4 Top of Manor Rd 465m² and 150m² total 615m² 5 Green Verge Kenelm Rd 112m² (a stretch of the imagination to call this green space) 6 Green areas on Forge Meadow 330m² and 621m² total 951m² 7 Well Meadow. This area is incorrectly named and has long been known as The Well Ground. I 	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>Include measurements in Plan as suggested.</p>

<p>have not measured this area as it is private property.</p> <p>8 Play area Pound Lane 1000m2</p> <p>9 Village hall lawn 850m2</p>		
<p>Draft Policy CI1 Communication Technologies</p>		
<p>The mobile phone and broadband availability in and around the village is lamentable particularly when compared to neighbouring communities. An increase in 'chimney pots' should accelerate BT's plans to amplify the service to Clifton with a far greater sense of urgency than there currently appears to be.</p> <p>My only caveat and slight concern is the end of point 2 - 'support for appropriate discreet renewable energy technologies'. This has the potential to create great divisions within communities; one mans view of appropriate and discreet is another mans idea of a nightmare. What renewable technology did the plan have in mind? I would request further detail and thinking around the context and relevance of any renewable technology initiative.</p> <p>That subjective point apart, I think the document created is extremely positive, forward thinking and an excellent basis for development plans going forward.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The Policy is being amended – see above and MHDC comments.</p>	<p>No further change.</p>
<p>Draft Policy CI2 Supporting Improvements in Traffic Management, Signage and Street Furniture</p>		

<p>Concern raised about increased volumes of traffic both in the village and surrounding roads-safety issues and also affects commutes to work for everyone over the wider road networks eg Holt Heath/Ombersley/Worcester ring road.</p>	<p>Noted. SG to consider this information in supporting text and Policy CI2.</p>	<p>Amend Plan. Use information in supporting text and Policy CI2 Parish Council Action Point 7</p>
<p>Potential safety issues with construction traffic in the village especially on Pound Lane when works starts.</p>	<p>Noted. SG to consider this information in supporting text and Policy CI2.</p>	<p>Amend Plan. Parish Council Action Point 7 Use information in supporting text and Policy CI2.</p>
<p>Parking is an issue and will worsen with more vehicles. What can be done? Can we use village hall or pavilion parking areas when they are not in use?</p>	<p>Noted. SG to consider this information in supporting text and Policy CI2.</p>	<p>Amend Plan. Use information in supporting text and Policy CI2.</p>
<p>Speed down Pound Lane should be reduced to 20mph....The Lane was never made to accommodate such an increased volume of traffic...Road width is considered to be less than ideal for proposed increase in development. Reminder of slow signs in village.</p>	<p>Noted. SG to consider this information in supporting text and Policy CI2.</p>	<p>Amend Plan. Parish Council Action Point 7 Use information in supporting text and Policy CI2.</p>
<p>Traffic management is an on going process by the parish council of discussion and working with Worcestershire County Council highways dept to ensure the roads in the parish are safe for all users. Traffic approaches and enters the village on the B4204 at both sides too fast. Suggest having visual impact, for example "white gates/fence" by village signboards to act as a prompt to drivers that they are entering a designated village area with a 30 speed limit. Should there be a 20mph speed limit by the school and playing field for safety especially</p>	<p>Noted. SG to consider this information in supporting text and Policy CI2.</p>	<p>Amend Plan. Parish Council Action Point 7 Use information in supporting text and Policy CI2. Parish Council Action Point 10</p>

<p>when the new development takes place and the increase in cars this will produce? Woodmanton corner has many accidents especially in wet and icy conditions. Could we suggest having the “Slow” sign on the road repainted and on an orange background and/or the central white lines painted on orange to highlight the corner.</p> <p>Parking is an issue in the village. All new houses must provide off road parking for 2 cars. Double yellow lines are not really appropriate in the village as they are not in character. Signage in the village and parish needs to be practical and not too many. We are rural not suburban. Signs on the village green should be tidy and appropriate.</p> <p>Notice boards need to be updated and in good condition to have an impact and look in keeping with the village. This would also support the tourism and information sharing ideas. 1) The footpath board needs updating and could show WBRC species list for identifying local flora and fauna with details to contact WBRC to help them update their records. Sheets to take round on walks could be available in the shop/pubs for locals and tourists to use. Update and reproduce local walks booklet for parishioners and tourists. Also have the countryside code clearly stated re rights of way/gates/control of dogs and dog fouling.</p>		
<p>Infrastructure-Ham Bridge-increased supermarket home deliveries. Sewage works-screening/small copse. Developing home</p>	<p>Noted. SG to consider this information in</p>	<p>Amend Plan. Use information in supporting text and Policy C12.</p>

producers market? Tea shop? Provision for senior citizens homes. Future housing-small bungalows for downsizing. More litter bins and poo bins for dogs, along the lanes too. Well done for the very professional designed plan and all hard work, very well put together.	supporting text and Policy CI2.	Parish Council Action Point 7, 9
---	---------------------------------	----------------------------------

Comments from Primary School Pupils

Comments	SG Consideration and Changes to Plan
<p>Feedback from the Primary School (71 pupils)-Discussed by the head teacher and chair of governors (also on NP steering group) with the school council who consulted with their fellow classmates. They had flyers, hard copies of the NP plan and the display boards/photos/maps from the events.</p> <p>Cathryn and I met the school council Wednesday 16th March and we asked them to consult their classmates on their thoughts as to how they would like the village to be developed over the years having emphasised the fact that 2030 is a bit too close for me but they will be in their 20's! The children ranged from 6 to 10 years old with two children representing Woodpeckers (7/8) and four representing Eagles which is the largest class this year (9/10). The youngest group representing Robins (6/7) were unavailable at the first meeting.</p> <p>They clearly enjoyed looking at the various boards that I took to school and recognising the old and new photographs. So they certainly seemed keen to talk to their classes before responding to us last Wednesday 23rd March which, as it was the last day of term, I took the meeting on my own.</p> <p>Some genuinely interesting responses in among some rib ticklers!</p> <p>In no particular order but perhaps with some themes:</p>	
<p>toy shop, cafe, more varied shops "but we don't want Clifton to become a city" - this gave us the chance to discuss the fact that the village did have various shops (and pubs) not too many years ago! Cafe rather than pub would be more popular but appreciating the challenge of running a</p>	<p>Noted. No change. Parish Council Action Point 3</p>

business "why not unemployed people/volunteers running it".	
More houses = permanent doctor's surgery?	Noted – Policy CR1 supports investment in community facilities and services. No change.
Leisure Centre - why not combine the guide hut and pavilion so we can all be fitter and healthier.	Refer to management organisations. No change to Plan. Parish Council Action Point 8
Pedestrian Crossing to make it safe to cross at The Lion and the shop. Not traffic lights but something that makes the roads safer which might include double yellow lines through the village. <i>(Lack of parking for our main street residents was discussed but no solution offered!)</i> The general consensus was safety with genuine worries about young children running out between cars. Definitely cars must slow down going through village.	Noted. Use information in supporting text and Policy C12 Parish Council Action Point 7
Street lamps but understood why there aren't any.	Noted. No change.
Cleaner streets. Dog fouling - they are willing to write to the village to follow up your recent notice. Perhaps smarter dog mess receptacles but appreciated the cost of emptying with no immediate solution	Noted – refer to Parish Council for action / publicity. Parish Council Action Point 9
Bigger car park to help visitors to the village and play and sports area/school/nursery users	Noted. Consider as part of traffic / parking issues.
Then we turned to animated discussions on eco and green matters - more trees and flowers on the green spaces. They appreciated potential damage to flower beds on the main green when an event is on so they offered to work with planter boxes that could be moved into the school grounds. They offered to manage and maintain the planting.	Noted. Management of local green spaces and other recreational areas is not a planning matter but could be included in Actions for PC. Parish Council Action Point 1, 9
As many trees as possible throughout the village to reduce CO2	

Village green and play area grass cut more often	
<p>Play and sports area could the tennis courts be open to all (<i>opportunity for the tennis club to promote themselves</i>) rugby and soccer posts separate from the CRFC pitch zip wire, skate park and more varied play equipment more picnic tables - not enough when the weather is lovely and everyone wants to go to the picnic and play area</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Management of local green spaces and other recreational areas is not a planning matter but could be included in Actions for PC. Parish Council Action Point 8</p>
<p>We discussed the fact that this all costs money and they were fully understanding - perhaps these things could be done over time (<i>I didn't raise the subject of S106/CIL but.....!</i>)</p>	<p>Noted. No change.</p>
<p>We had an interesting suggestion that was not on the class lists - a memorial on the village green - we know there is one in the church and in the village hall but wouldn't it be better if there was one in the middle of the village?</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>This is not a planning matter but could be included in Actions for PC. Parish Council Action Point 8</p>
<p>As we were joined for the feedback meeting by the two children who represented the youngest class I asked them if they agreed with all these suggestions to be told most definitely yes with emphasis on safety and health.</p> <p>All I can say is WOW. If they are our future residents in the village we should have no worries! They liked the idea of being involved in the referendum! Hope that helps.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>No change.</p>